



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/506,825	09/07/2004	Jean-Sebastien Durand	TIMK 9060W1	8913	
1688	7590 03/29/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
•	LIEDER, WOODRUFF &	HANNON, THOMAS R			
	RSCOURT DRIVE SUITE MO 63131-3615	200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3682		
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/20/2007		

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/506,825	DURAND, JEAN-SEBASTIEN				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thomas R. Hannon	3682				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timusely unit apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 September 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)∐	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment	(c)						
1) 🛛 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (	PTO-413)				
2) 🔯 Notica 3) 🔯 Inform	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)    Paper No(s)/Mail Date    Other:						
S Patent and Tr	ademark Office						

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 2, line 27, "33" should be changed to --23-- to agree with the drawings.

Appropriate correction is required.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 112. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claim 4, there is no proper antecedent for "the large end of the cage".

With respect to claim 5, there is no proper antecedent for "the large outside diameter".

With respect to claim 6, there is no proper antecedent for "the large axial end".

With respect to claim 8, there is no proper antecedent for "the narrow end".

With respect to claim 9, there is no proper antecedent for "the narrow end".

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 and 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katzensteiner (DE 4141793) in view of Yasui et al.

Katzensteiner discloses a steering axle of a vehicle as claimed, including a pivot pin with tapered rolling elements retained in a cage (Figure 5). Katzensteiner does not show the cage having projections engaging a groove. Yasui et al. discloses a tapered roller bearing in which a polymer cage includes projections at the large diameter end for engaging in a groove of the inner race member (Figure 9). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the bearing/cage assembly of Katzensteiner such that the cage assembly includes radial projects engaging in a groove on the inner member, because this is taught and suggested by Yasui et al. as providing a bearing assembly of reduced weight by eliminating the small diameter ring, as well as retaining the rollers on the inner member when the outer race is removed.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katzensteiner in view of Yasui et al. as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Wilks et al. Wilks et al. discloses a steering pivot in which the pivot pin 6 includes an extension (10) and a groove 19. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the pivot pin of Katzensteiner such that it includes additional

Art Unit: 3682

structure including that of an extension and groove, for the desired purpose of generating a sensed signal.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas R. Hannon whose telephone number is (571) 272-7104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas R. Hannon Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3682

trh